#### **UNCLASSIFIED**

# Defense Acquisition Challenge (DAC) Program



# Comparative Testing Office


Advanced Systems & Concepts
Acquisition. Technology, & Logistics

### **Overvie**

### W

- Authorized by Title 10, USC, Sec 2359b, the Defense Acquisition Challenge (DAC) Program provides increased opportunities for the introduction of innovative and cost-saving technologies into DoD acquisition programs. Provides an "on-ramp" to DoD acquisition system for small and medium vendors.
- DAC provides oversight and funds for the Test and Evaluation of technologies that have potential to improve current acquisition programs at component, subsystem, or system level
- DAC uses an established network of Service and U.S. Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) liaison offices

# Organization in OSD



# **Evaluation Criteria**

- Conduct an initial "panel" review of each proposal for:
  - Merit
  - Improvements in performance; affordability; manufacturability; operational capability at the component, subsystem or system level of an acquisition program
  - Rapid implementation at acceptable cost and without unacceptable disruption
- If the above criteria are satisfied, then a "full" review is completed by the program office and the prime system contractor
  - Independent review using the above criteria
  - Include assessment of the cost of adopting and implementing
  - Consideration of intellectual property rights

DAC provides companies an "on-ramp" into the defense acquisition system.

# Selected On-Going DAC Projects


RESUS - Restore Effective Survival in Shock
Increases Survivability Rate of Soldiers during
hemorrhagic shock; 3-yr shelf stable, no
refrigeration required, compatible w/ all blood
types


Air Force/Navy, BIOPURE, MA

# Aerogel for Ships Fire barrier, IR Suppression, Blast Mitigation, and Weight, Volume, Install Cost and Fuel Savings Navy, Aspen Aerogels, MA

# Friction Stir Processing 75% Reduction in propeller casting time for Virginia Class SUBS Navy, MTS Corp, MN and General Tool Co, OH

X-Cor Replacement for Conventional Honeycomb
X-Cor™ is a lightweight, damage-tolerant core
material that replaces conventional metal or
honeycomb in aerospace structures. A 29% weight
reduction and a 45-60% cost savings versus the
baseline aluminum Blackhawk tail cone are
estimated. Aztex, Inc., Waltham, MA











# FY 06 DAC Process

### FY 2006 DAC Program Status

- 424 Draft Proposals were submitted by Industry and Government agencies with proposed technologies and products ranging from studies to near off the shelf capabilities
- ALL Draft Proposals were evaluated and prioritized based on potential and were reviewed by acquisition Programs of Record
- 74 Draft Proposals were "Accepted" by Program Managers
- 53 Final Proposals were submitted by Program
   Managers to compete for funding

For FY06 15 new-starts along with 12 "ongoing" projects were approved for a total of \$28.9M


# Solicitation for FY 2007

Document Type: BAA

Solicitation Number: TBD

Posted Date: TBD

Close Date: TBD



To submit a proposal you must <u>register</u> on CTO Portal homepage, then login, go to DAC and follow instruction set.

Anticipate the BAA for FY2007 will be posted on web in early December 2005 at:

www.fedbizopps.gov

https://cto.acqcenter.com/osd/portal.nsf

# Two-Phase Process

### Phase One

- Any person or activity within or outside the DoD interested in participating can submit a DAC proposal along with a quad chart using the templates provided in the BIDS website:
  - https://cto.acqcenter.com/osd/portal.nsf (unclassified only)
- All proposals receive:
  - ✓ Administrative Review
  - ✓ Technical Review
  - Program Manager Review
  - ✓ OSD Review
  - ✓ Selection/Non-selection
- Evaluation criteria for selection includes as a minimum
  - ✓ Does the proposal have merit?
  - Will the result achieve improvements in performance, affordability, manufacturability or operational capability?
  - Can the acquisition program be implemented rapidly and without disruption, at an acceptable cost? (DAC focuses on technologies that are ready to transition - technology readiness levels 6-9)

Proposals meeting above criteria are prioritized based on potential for providing innovative and cost-saving technologies to meet the DoD acquisitions programs and ability to meet the warfighter needs


# Two-Phase Process

### Phase Two

- Sponsoring government program offices refine the first phase proposal addressing:
  - √ Key performance parameters
  - ✓ Preliminary test plan
  - ✓ Cost analysis
  - ✓ Funding required for test
  - ✓ Length of evaluation period
- DAC final proposal will need letter of endorsement with intent to procure, project chart, and quad chart
- Classified proposals can be accepted through the mail

Selection for funding is highly competitive and submitters are notified of the outcome of their proposal evaluation

# DAC Proposal Process & Milestones



# Steps for Submitting

- 1. Read submission guidelines
- 2. Submit Draft Proposal IAW guidelines
- Contact the Service/USSOCOM DAC focal point to determine status and revise your Draft Proposal if more info needed
- 4. If Draft Proposal is "Accepted" by a Program of Record/Program Manager (PM) the PM, with the assistance of the item vendors, will submit a DAC Final Proposal

Projects with more than 24 months of test and evaluation will be considered case by case

# Technology Readiness Levels

- TRL 9 actual application of technology under mission conditions
- TRL 8 actual system developmentally tested
- TRL 7 prototype tested in operational environment
- TRL 6 prototype system/subsystem lab tested
- TRL 5 breadboard validated in operational environment
- TRL 4 breadboard validated in lab
- TRL 3 proof of concept in labs
- TRL 2 technology concept formulated
- TRL 1 basic principles observed/reported—paper study

Minimum level is TRL 6 or 7 when proposed, but... required TRL 8 or 9 by completion of DAC testing!

# Extended Wear, Lubrication-Free M249 SAW



#### <u>Participants</u>

- U.S. Army Picatinny Arsenal
- Universal Chemical Technology Defense, Stuart, FL

Schedule
Technical Test 2QFY05
Operational Test 2-4QFY05
Milestone C 4QFY05

POC: Al Trawinski, (703) 806-0999 PM: Andy Goetz, (973) 724-6324

#### <u>Technology</u>

 UCT Defense wants to apply a Nickel Boron coating that is extremely hard and very lubricious to produce a lubrication-free version of the M249. This effort is to gain full safety confirmation from ATC, and conduct user evaluations by coating 136 M249s (~a Brigade).

#### The So What

• Extended service life, increased reliability, eliminating wet lubrication, has reduced maintenance requirements (especially in desert, fine-sand environments) that has significantly lewer lifes cycle costs than the current M249.

CTO 1595 1595

Sponsor 100 100

#### **Benefits**

RDTE Cost Savings: \$10M

O&S Cost Savings: \$1.39M annually

Procurement Cost Savings: \$0.65M annually

Fielding Reduction: none

Procurement Potential: \$13.0M (USA+SOF)

# DAC Small Business Factoids

# Of the companies participating:

- 202 of the 382 FY03/04 submissions were Small or Mid-sized Business
  - 14 of the 20 projects selected for FY03 DAC 70% were Small or Mid-sized Business
  - 7 of the 10 projects selected for FY04 DAC 70% were Small or Mid-sized Business
- 326 of the 582 FY05 submissions were Small or Mid-sized Business
  - 11 of the 15 projects selected for FY05 DAC 70% were Small or Mid-sized Business

# FY05 Defense Acquisition Challenge **Program Participating Companies**

#### **Arizona**

Raytheon Missile Systems,

Tucson

**Michigan** 

EOS, Tempe California

Trijicon, Wixom

EÓ Tểch Ann Arbor

ISW Group, St.Louis

SureFire LLC, Fountain Valley

Ambient Control System, El

Cajon

BAE Systems, San Diego <u>Missouri</u>

**Florida** 

Structural Composites Inc. SW GROUP R&D, Creve Coeur

Melbourne

Universal Chemical

Technologies, Inc.; Stuart

Engine Tech, Ocala

#### Maine

Pepin Associates, Greenville

#### **Massachusetts**

Foster-Miller. Waltham Triton Systems, Chelmsford

#### **New Hampshire**

Insight Technology,

Londonderry **New Jersey** 

USA-RDFCOM-ARDFC Benet

Labs, Watervliet

#### **New York**

Telephonics Corporation, **Farmingdale** 

#### Ohio

US AFRL/MLLN, Wright Patterson AFB ECC Inc., Brooklyn Heights

#### **Pennsylvania**

Concurrent Tech Corp.,

Johnstown

#### **Texas**

Raytheon, Richardson Litton, Garland

#### Utah

LazerBrite, Salt Lake City

#### **Washington**

ISR Inc., Liberty Lake

# **Primary DAC Points of Contact**

```
OSD Program Office (703) 602-3
U.S. Army Focal Point (703) 806-0999
U.S. Navy Focal Point (215) 697-9528
U.S. Air Force Focal Point (703) 588-6
USSOCOM Focal Point (813) 281-0560-
extension 729
```

E-Mail: **defensechallenge@osd.mil**Web:

https://cto.acqcenter.com/osd/portal.nsf